© 2012 Cenresin Publications <u>www.cenresinpub.org</u> ISSN 2277-0062

ECONOMIC SURVEY OF HOMESTEAD FISH FARMING IN SELECTED COMMUNITIES OF BAYELSA STATE

¹Kainga, P.E. and ²Adeyemo, A.O. ¹Department of Agricultural Economics & Rural Sociology ²Department of Fisheries Technology Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State

ABSTRACT

The paper surveyed the economics of Homestead Fish Farming in selected communities in Bayelsa State. The study was conducted in selected communities in Ogbia and Yenagoa Local Government Areas of Bayelsa State, based on the availability of fish farmers as a result of its suitable soil and climatic nature, as well as the easy access of road and market. The two LGAs both existed before the creation of Bayelsa State on 1st of October 1996. A three-stage sampling technique was used in collecting the data for this study between January -April, 2009 through structured questionnaire, personal interview, observation and focus group discussion. Average estimated output was 1, 200 table size fish of an average weight of 1kg each sold at N690/kg. Total fixed cost and total operating cost was estimated at N377, 150 and N332, 910 respectively; net return was estimated at N117, 940. Farmers can be encouraged to invest in HSFF in that it returns N1.17 to every N1.00 invested. Peculiar problems associated with all the respondents include the following: No access to credit facilities by genuine farmers; low quality fingerlings due to lack of reliable source of fingerlings/hatchery; steady rise in price of fingerlings as suppliers are outside the state; high cost of labour with average labour cost of ₩1,700 per man day; high cost of fish feed; lack of storage facilities; inadequate electricity; inadequate land; flooding on earthen ponds; and lack of political will by government in encouraging the fisheries subsector.

Keywords: Homestead Fish Farming (HSFF), Economic Survey.

INTRODUCTION

In many developing countries aquaculture which is the culture of fish and other aquatic organisms is gaining popularity as a means of augmenting fish protein supply. In Nigeria and particularly Bayelsa State, prices of alternative meat protein source are soaring, coupled with dwindling nature of catches from capture fisheries. Price of fish may rise beyond the reach of the rural poor and even some segments of the urban population (Oyatoye 1982). The state is relatively at its nascent stage of growth and development, and one major area of concern is the increasing demand in both restaurants and markets. Thus consumption of fish products is rapidly increasing. Fish farming has been an ancient practice that provides many profitable opportunities (Louis, et al 1997). In the same vein aquaculture can bridge the gap between fish demand and supply in Nigeria especially with developed technology and strong evidence of government participation (Adeyemo and Adeyemo, 2008). Subsistence fish farming in the State involves digging seasonal ponds in the well developed fresh water flood plains and swamps to retain fish at the recession of the flood water with little or no management with subsequent low yields (Sikoki and Otobotekere 1999). Consequently, Ozo (2008) affirmed that fish products should not be confined to the coastline areas and cities near the major

rivers and lakes. That we must learn from countries which have well developed fishing cultures and improve on our status quo. Though fish farming has been proven to be economically successful if well managed, there is still dearth of studies on the economics of aquaculture activities in the State. Moreover, ascertaining the economics of fish farming can not be overemphasized as there is urgent need to bridge the demand and supply gap. For instance, while total fish demand was 1.5 million metric tonnes, supply was estimated at 1.1 million metric tonnes (Dada, 2003; Ezenwa, 2006). Again fish farming is yet to substantially contribute to the domestic fish production (Jim, *et al* 2006). However, Kainga and Adeyemo (2008) noted that fisheries development depends on improved production, processing technology and effective marketing system. Studies like this could guide investors and would be investors in aquaculture activities vis-à-vis HSFF in relation to their limited capital. It could also guide research, financial institutions, NGOs and policy decisions on the part of government on her fisheries programmes.

This paper seeks to examine the economics of HSFF in selected communities of Bayelsa State.

METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in selected communities in Oabia and Yenagoa Local Government Areas of Bayelsa State, based on the availability of fish farmers as a result of its suitable soil and climatic nature, as well as the easy access of road and market. The two LGAs both existed before the creation of Bayelsa State on 1st of October 1996. The state is located between latitude 04°15" North, 05°23" North and longitude 05°22" West and 06°45" East; with an area of about 21,110 square kilometers, out of which more than three-quarter is occupied by water, with moderately low land (BYSG, 2008). A three-stage sampling technique was used in collecting the data for this study between January -April 2009 through structured questionnaire, personal interview, observation and focus group discussion. The first stage involved purposive selection of the various clans in the two LGAs. Thus in Ogbia and Yenagoa the three and seven clans respectively were selected for the study. A total of ten clans were selected. The second stage involved random selection of one community from each clan making a total of 10 communities. This was based on a sample frame of 170 homestead fish farmers in the state (MARD,2004). The third stage involved random selection of six fish farmers from each of the communities making a total of 60 homestead fish farmers. The clans so chosen include Emeyal, Oloibiri and Ayanma in Ogbia LGA and Epie, Atissa, Zarama, Okordia, Ekpetiama, Gbarain and Biseni in Yenagoa LGA. Data for this study was analysed using descriptive statistical tools and budgetary analysis was used to measure the return to naira invested in HSFF. Returns can be expressed as NR = TR - TC, Where NR = Net Returns, TR= Total Returns and TC=Total Cost (Olukosi and Erhaboh 2004).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the 60 respondents were males (Table 1). The zero percent of females can be attributed to the fact that HSFF is time consuming and tasking. Nevertheless women in the study area need to be encouraged to be involved in HSFF provided adequate funds can be loaned to them; after all they are noted to be generally engaged in the fishery industry (Sikoki, 2000).

Majority of the respondents are between 41 and above 51 years of age. This is attributed to the fact that civil servants go into HSFF as a means of livelihood on retirement. Though HSFF appear to be a male activity, it requires dedication and support. For instance all respondents were married, majority (63.33%) with household size of 6 -11. All the fish farmers surveyed acquired tertiary education. None of them were illiterates which imply that HSFF require special knowledge and skill. The level of involvement of fish farmers in the area showed that majority (80%) operate HSFF on part time basis, majority of them are civil servants (77.08%). This was attributed to the fact that savings from salaries were used to develop and operate HSFF with the intention for commercial production in preparation for retirement as other sources of funds are elusive. The foregoing thus limit the number of ponds owned as majority (70%) owned between 1-2 ponds, coupled with (33.33%) 3-4 years experience. Yet returns analysis showed that HSFF in the area is profitable. Average estimated output was 1, 200 table size fish of an average weight of 1kg each sold at N690/kg. Total fixed cost and total operating cost was estimated at N377, 150 and N332, 910 respectively; net return was estimated at N117, 940. Farmers can be encouraged to invest in HSFF in that it returns N1.17 to every N1.00 invested. Peculiar problems associated with all the respondents include the following: No access to credit facilities by genuine farmers; low quality fingerlings due to lack of reliable source of fingerlings/hatchery; steady rise in price of fingerlings as suppliers are outside the state; high cost of labour with average labour cost of ₩1,700 per man day; high cost of fish feed; lack of storage facilities; inadequate electricity; inadequate land; flooding on earthen ponds; and lack of political will by government in encouraging the fisheries subsector.

CONCLUSION

The potential for growth is very high in the aquaculture sector; it also offers a number of opportunities for reducing poverty and hunger ranging from affordable technologies for small-holder farmers to commercial production for domestic, regional and international markets. Homestead fish farming is profitable in the state. However, exploiting these potentials hinges on quality/reliable source of fingerlings, availability/affordable fish feed, effective management and genuine political will by government in creating the enabling environment.

Table 1: A. Socio-economic characteristics of the homestead fish farmers.

Variable	Frequency	
		Percentage
Sex		
Male	60	100.00
Female	-	-
Total	60	100.00
Age (Years)		
20 – 30	3	5.00
31 – 40	5	8.33
41 – 50	27	45.00

51 and above	25	41.67
Total	60	100.00
Marital status	00	100.00
Single	_	_
Married	60	100.00
Total	60	100.00
Educational level	00	100.00
No formal Education	_	_
Primary Education	6	10
Secondary Education	18	30
1	36	60
Tertiary Education Total	60	
Household size	00	100
	22	26 67
1 – 5 6 – 11	22	36.67
	33	55.00
12 – 15	5	8.33
Total	60	100.00
Category of Fish Farmers	40	20.00
Full-time	12	20.00
Part-time	48	80.00
Total	60	100.00
Other Occupations of Part-time		
Fish Farmers		
Civil Servant	37	77.08
Trading	4	6.25
Farming	16	12.5
Others	3	4.16
Total	60	100
Number of ponds		
1 – 2	42	70.00
3 – 4	11	18.33
5 and above	7	11.66
Total	60	100.00
Experience in Fish Farming		
1 – 2	15	25.00
3 – 4	20	33.33
5 – 7	12	20.00
8 – 10	6	10.00
11 and above	7	11.67
Total	60	100.00

B. Farming systems/practices

B.	Farming systems/practices		
	Variable	Frequency	Percentage
	Fish type		
	Tilapia	3	5
	Heterobranchus	30	50
	Only Clarias	9	15
	Clarias/Heterobranchus	18	30
	Total	60	100.00
	Pond type	00	100.00
	Earthen	48	80
	Concrete	3	5
	Earthen/Concrete	9	15
	Total	60	100.00
	Type of farming	00	100.00
	Monoculture	42	70.0
	Polyculture	15	25.0
	Integrated	3	5.0
	Total	60	100.00
	Source of fingerlings	00	100.00
	Intermediaries (not fisheries	49	81.67
	experts)	לד	01.07
		1	1.67
	Own pond Direct sourcing e.g ARAC, other	10	16.67
	farmers)	10	10.07
	Total	60	100
		00	100
	Source of capital	ΓO	96.67
	Personal Savings	58 2	
	Bank	Z	3.67
	Cooperative	-	-
	Ministry of Agric./ Commerce	-	-
	Relatives	-	100
	Total	60	100
	Type of labour		
	Type of labour	C 0	100
	Both hired & family labour	60	100
	Capital requirement	25	F0 22
	10, 000 - 100, 000	35	58.33
	101, 000 - 191, 000	10	16.67
	192, 000 - 282, 000	9	15.00
	283,000 - 373, 000	4	6.67
	374, 000 and above	2	3.33
	Total	60	100

Field Survey Data, 2009

C. Costs and Return associated with Homestead fish farmers:

Fixed Cost	Operating Cost			
Land 320,9	900	Transport	18,700	
Nets	4,150	Labour	42,950	
Shovels	3,800	Fertilizer	5,400	
Machetes	4,500	Feed Cost	108,010	
Pumping Machine	38,500	Fingerlings	90,200	
Baskets	1,750	Machine Operator	8,400	
Buckets	3,550	Fuel	15,900	
		Depreciation	n 43, 340	
Total fixed cost	377,150	Total operating cost 332,910		
Total Cost	710, 060	Total return	828,000	
Net return	117,940	Benefit/cost	t ratio 1.17	

REFERENCES

Adeyemo, A.O. and O.R. Adeyemo (2008): "Fish Farming Development in Nigeria", Proc. 42nd Annual Conf., Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN) October 19th – 23rd 2008 Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria. pp 546 – 548.

Bayelsa State Government (2008) Briefs on Bayelsa State.

- Dada, B.F. (2003): "Contribution of Fisheries to Employment, National Economy and Food Security in Nigeria". Public Lecture. Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON).
- Ezenwa, B.I. (2006): "Aquaculture Research and Fish Farm Development Potentials in the Niger Delta" A Paper presented at a Workshop on Niger Delta Fishery Training Workshop: Catch your fish $18^{th} 19^{th}$ May 2006, pp 1 27.
- Jim, M.; Tunda, A.; Godwin Asale and Wen. Hui Chen (2006): "Integrated Irrigation Aquaculture Opportunities in Nigeria". Aquaculture and Inland Fisheries Project FAO National Special Programme for Food Security Office, Abuja, Nigeria.
- Kainga P.E. and A.O. Adeyemo (2008): "Socio-Economic Characteristics of Fish Marketers in Yenagoa Local Government Area of Bayelsa State" Proc. 42nd Annual Conf., Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN) October 19th 23rd 2008 Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria. Pp 774 777.
- Louis, A. Helfrich and George, S. Libery (1997): "Should you Attempt Fish Farming? Consideration for Prospective Fish Growers" Seminar paper Presented at the

- Aquaculture Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Sciences Virginia Technology. Pp 1-3.
- MARD, (2004) Inventory of Water Bodies FishFarms, Lakes, Rivers and Borrow Pits. Official Publication of Bayelsa State Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development. Yenagoa
- Olukosi, J.O. and P.O. Erhabor (2004): Introduction to Farm Management Economics, Principles and Applications. Agitab Pub. Zaria, pp. 114
- Oyatoye, E.O. (1982): "Developing a Viable Fish Farming Industry in Nigeria 2nd Proc FISON 1983. pp.99 111.
- Ozo, N.O. (2008): "The Nigerian Food Industry: Where did we get it Wrong". In: Proc. 42nd Annual Conference ASN October 19 23, 2008 Ebonyi State University Abakaliki Nigeria. Pp. 8.
- Sikoki, F.D. and A.J.T. Otobotekere (1999): "Fisheries" In: Alagoa, E.J. (1999) Land and People of Bayelsa State. Onyoma Research Publication Port Harcourt, pp 301 317.
- Sikoki F. D. (2000): "Some Non- Conventional Approaches to the Rational Management of Fishery Resources for Sustainable Rural Development". Paper Presented at the Preproject Initiation Conference for the Niger Delta Basin Held at Yenagoa: 8 9th Sept, 2000 p7.