EFFECTS OF MATHEMATICS KNOWLEDGE ON PHYSICS STUDENTS PERFORMANCE IN ELECTROMAGNETISM

Okey, Innocent Francis and Charles-Ogan, Gladysibibo

Curriculum Studies and Educational Technology
University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
E-mail: franokey2000@yahoo.com & gladyscharlesogan@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The paper investigated the effects of Mathematics Knowledge on Physics Students Performance in Electromagnetism. A sample of two hundred students (200) Physics students in senior secondary school 2 (SS2) were randomly selected. The design adopted in the study was a quasi-experimental Pretest posttest control type with each group treated differently. The instruments physics performance test in electromagnetism in (PPTE) and Mathematics ability test in electromagnetism concepts (MATEC) with reliability coefficient 0.74 and 0.94 respectively, were used to obtain performance data of students. The data were analyzed using mean, percentages and the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The results of the study showed that students of high mathematical ability have greater mean percentage gain of 41.17% while those of low mathematical ability have 36.93%. Mathematics ability, instructional strategies and gender have positive joint relationship with students performance in Physics (electromagnetism) to a considerable extent 22.2% (r^2 = 0.22). It was recommended that Physics students should be properly groomed in mathematics, problem solving schedules should accompany conceptual treatment of numerical problems in the Physics classroom. Use of innovative teaching strategies would improve interactivity, understanding and application of concepts (numerical and non-numerical) in the learning of electromagnetism.

Keyword: Mathematics, Knowledge; Physics; Performance; Electromagnetism

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Okey, Innocent Francis and Charles-Ogan, Gladysibibo (2015), Effects of Mathematics Knowledge on Physics Students Performance in Electromagnetism. *J. of Education and Leadership Development Vol. 7, No. 1, Pp. 36 – 49.*

Introduction

Physics as a science that involves the study of the physical properties of matter and its interaction with energy-a study of systematized knowledge produced by careful observation, measurement and experiment in a view to establish basic physical laws as well as give scientifically reliable explanation of physical phenomena (Okey, 2007). The study of Physics has made significant contributions through advances in new technologies that arise from theoretical break through. For example, advances in the understanding of electromagnetism led to the development of new products which have contributed to the transformation of modern society, such as television, computers, domestic appliances and nuclear weapons (Wikipedia, 2010). Young and Freedman (2004) defined physics as an experimental science since its specialists observe the phenomena of nature and try to find patterns and principles that relate to those phenomena in the form of theories, physical laws or principles. Interestingly, the diversified concepts of the subject matter have made its study relevant in many disciplines, such as, engineering, medicine architecture, integrated science, chemistry, science education and mathematics. Mathematics knowledge has become inseparable and a *sinequanon* in the learning of qualitative aspects of arts and science. In scientific discourse, mathematics knowledge is the "language of science" (Redish, 2005). Mathematical calculations occur at every step in physics. It is only mathematics that gives form and definiteness to the properties of matter and harnessing of nature is possible only through quantitative interpretations of ideas and imaginations. Mathematics pervades physics so much that its impact and influence can be felt in every part of it (Sidhu, 2006). The effectiveness in student's understanding and application of concepts in electromagnetism can be guaranteed through adequate possession of mathematics knowledge hence students understanding of the basic mathematical concepts which influence greatly how they cope with higher level; materials where application of these basic mathematical concepts are required. Physics students have difficulties with mathematics understanding of the concepts in physics which demands adequate mathematical knowledge. Osborne, Simon and Collins (2003), lord and Jones, (2006)…maintained that:

> *Physics students who lacked basic algebra, performed poorly on mathematical problem solving tasks in physics due to students lack of knowledge of mathematical skills needed in problem solving in physics or students do not know how to apply the mathematical skills they have to particularly solving the problems situation in physics. Although a wide conceptual difference exists between subjects (Physics and Mathematics) it is no longer history that mathematical knowledge are required to tackle numerical problems in physics, leaving much to be done in order to change students attitude towards mathematics and science.*

In Nigeria, Adeyemi (2007) who had studied mathematics as language for involving secondary school children in science and technology indicated that their performance in West African Schools Certificate Examinations (SSCE) mathematics also correlated significantly with their performance in Physics in the same examination, which remained generally poor. In order to improve students' understanding of mathematics, a lot of innovative strategies have been suggested and used, among mathematics majors- the use of interactive white board for creative teaching and learning in literacy and mathematics: a case study (Wood and Ashfield, 2008), psycho- academic variables and mathematics achievement of 9th grade students in Nigeria (Joseph, 2012), play-way learning strategies involving use of games and the mathematics laboratory, among others. Brekke (2010) and Adegoke (2009) in assessing the Mathematics knowledge potential between two nations at polar development of levels (developed or developing), in different researches, lamented students continued difficulties in mathematics. While Adegoke observed that many students (Nigerian) appear to lack the reasoning ability involved in the study of physics, identified their problems as lack of logical-mathematics operations, Brekke lamented that a number of students (Americans) who come from elementary to high school are deficient in basic mathematics facts such as the result of dividing a number by zero. The conceptual understanding of electromagnetism in particular and the subject matter in general, is difficult without adequate mathematics knowledge. This study therefore, is to determine the effects of mathematics knowledge on Physics students understanding and application of electromagnetism concepts. Although Okey and Avwiri (2012) asserted that the issue of difficulty in some concepts in Physics is due to results of learners attitude rather than pedagogical. How would students with low mathematics knowledge, understand and apply these concepts which are the basis of resourcefulness and educational practices inter-linked with Physics study?

Furthermore, Ighomereho (2005) and Owolabi, (2008) stated that common mistakes made by students while performing arithmetical operations involved in solving physics problems contributed greatly to their poor performance in physics. Similarly in the study of learning outcomes in sound waves, Obafemi and Ogunkunle (2014) stated that mathematics ability is significant in students performance in sound waves when taught using collaborative learning method (CLM), Demonstration learning method (DLM) and guide-discovery method (GDM) hence there exist evidences of inter-relatedness between Physics and Mathematics. To what extent does mathematics knowledge affect students performance in terms of understanding and application of conceptual framework? This research therefore, investigated the effects of mathematics knowledge on physics students understanding and application of the concepts of electromagnetism, when taught using concept map strategy through the intervention of advance organizers.

Problem of the Study

Electromagnetism concepts have been indexed as one of the difficult concept despite its relevance in the study of physics, to both teachers' observed as vast, inter-related and involved areas like electrostatics, (electricity), magnetism, alternating current circuits (AC), Lenz laws, faraday laws of electromagnetism, electromagnetic induction and applications of alternating current of circuits, among others. These contents areas have both demands of the mathematics knowledge of students. And teachers pedagogical content knowledge, inspite of its difficulties. (Oluyamo and Olasanmi,2002; Onwioduokit,1996,Gabriel,2006).

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of mathematics, knowledge of students in the study of Electromagnetism. Specifically, the objectives of the study were to:

i. Evaluate student's general performance in electromagnetism using the instructional strategies.
ii. Investigate the intervening effects of instructional strategies on student's performance in electromagnetism given their mathematical abilities.
iii. Evaluate the intervening effect of instructional strategies on account of mathematical ability, and gender on students performance on electromagnetism.

Research Question

The following research questions were stated to guide this study:

a. What is the students' general performance in electromagnetism concepts?
b. How does the mathematics ability of students affect their performance in electromagnetism concepts?
c. How does mathematics abilities and gender jointly affect students' performance on electromagnetism?

Research Hypotheses:

The null hypotheses tested in this study include:

Ho_1: There is no significant difference in performance of students in electromagnetism in taught using the instructional strategies.

Ho_2: No significant difference exists between the performances of students in electromagnetism taught using the instructional strategies, given their mathematics abilities.

Ho_3: There is no significant joint effect of instructional strategies, mathematics abilities and gender on students' performance in electromagnetism.

Instructional Strategies/Pedagogical Approaches

The innovation instructional strategies used as intervention for this study are:

i. The use of advance organizers (pictorial, written and verbal) identified as potent in improving students understanding and application of difficult concepts in physics. It has helped students process and remember content by facilitating the development of imagenes and logogens in dual coding information (Ellis, 2006)
ii. The use of concept map as the teaching method (strategy). The Ausbelian concept of the use of the concept map is to distinct between rote learning

and meaningful learning. Kola-Olusanya (2004) investigated the effectiveness of concept mapping in enhancing achievement of students, it is further used in creating a stable incorporation and retention of knowledge.

The subjects were taught the concepts of electromagnetism, delimited to:

a. Electromagnetic field
b. Electromagnetic induction and application of electromagnetic induction and
c. Simple alternating current circuit analysis

Methodology

The study adopted a quasi-experimental, pretest-post-test experimental and control design. The control group was not presented with advance organizers while the experimental groups had advance organizers differently as pictorial (group 1), written (group II) and verbal (group III) taught using the concept map strategy. Purposive sampling techniques were used to select a sample of two hundred SS2 students (200) for this study. The instruments Physics Performance Test on Electromagnetisms (PPTE) and the Mathematics Ability Test on Electromagnetism Concept (MATEC) were used to elicit student understanding and application of the concepts of electromagnetism and their mathematics abilities. MATEC consisted of 50 multiple choice questions on mathematics based on mathematical concepts applicable in electromagnetism while PPTE consisted of 50 multiple choice questions in the concepts of electromagnetism based on the constructs of understanding and application. Difficulty indices of the instrument PPTE and MATEC are 33.3 and 50.0 respectively, and reliability coefficients calculated using Kuder-Richardson formula (K-R 21) as 0.74 and 0.99 respectively. The pretest version of the PPTE and MATEC were administered to the students before instruction, at the end of 12 weeks of instruction, the post test version of the PPTE and MATEC were administered. The scores of students were collated for data analysis. The statistical analysis tools for answering the stated research question were mean and percentages while Analysis of Covariance, (ANCOVA) was used for test of hypotheses.

Result of the Study

Research Question I: What are the students general performances in electromagnetism concepts?

Table 1: Gain Scores of Students' Performance in the Concepts of Electromagnetism

Groups	Performance				
		$PPTE(pre)$ $\bar{x}$	$PPTE(post)$ $\bar{x}$	Gain	Gain%
Experimental	PAO WrAO VAO	10.52 13.68 12.86	24.82 20.10 23.86	14.32 6.42 11.00	40.54 19.01 29.96
Control	WAO	14.10	19.70	5.60	16.57

PrT- pre-test Post-test, PAO- pictorial Advance organizer.
WrAO- written Advance Organizer, VAO- verbal Advance organizer.
WAO- Without Advance organizer.

Table 1 shows that the mean percentage gain of students presented with the pictorial advance organizer is 40.54%, those presented with written advance organizers have mean percentage gain of 19.01%, those presented with verbal advance organizer have mean percentage gain of 29.96% while those without advance organizers have mean percentage gain of 16.57. This implies that the use of Pictorial advance organizers enhanced the performance of students in electromagnetism when taught using concept mapping strategy. Students performed poorly in electromagnetism when taught without the use of the organizers (gain; 5.60) but had improved performance due to use of the strategies (14.32, 11.00, 6.42).
Furthermore, the mean percentage gain of the experimental group (29.84%) is greater than the control group (16.57%). This implies that the use of advance organizers enhanced the performance of students in electromagnetism, when taught using concept mapping strategy.

Research questions II: How does a mathematics ability of students affect their performance in Electromagnetism?

Table2: Gain Scores of Performance in Electromagnetism by Students of High, Average and Low Mathematics Abilities.

Groups		MA	Performance $PPTE(pre)$ $\bar{x}$	$PTE(post)$ $\bar{x}$	Gain	Gain%
Experimental	PAO	H	9.88	23.88	14.00	41.47
		A	8.55	24.44	15.89	48.17
		L	10.93	23.73	12.80	36.93
	WrAO	H	12.75	22.00	9.25	26.62
		A	13.25	19.09	5.84	18.06
		L	12.86	20.14	7.28	22.06
	VAO	H	11.75	24.63	12.88	35.40
		A	12.87	24.00	11.13	30.59
		L	13.73	18.91	5.18	15.87
Control	WAO	H	16.14	22.29	6.15	16.00
		A	13.03	52.17	7.03	18.65
		L	15.27	19.55	4.28	12.29

MA- Mathematical ability
H- High (24-34) A- Average (14-23) L – low (4-13)
PAO- Pictorial Advance Organizers, WrAO- written Advance organizer
VAO- Verbal Advance Organizers, WAO- without Advance Organizer

Table 2 indicates that students of high mathematics ability have mean percentage gain of 41.17% while those of low mathematical ability have 36.93% in their performance in the concept of electromagnetism, when presented with pictorial advance organizers and taught using concept mapping, this implies that average mathematical ability is required for tackling numerical problems in electromagnetism. Moreover, students' who learnt using written advance organizers have high mathematics ability of 26.62%, those with average mathematics ability have mean percentage gain of 18.06 while those students with low mathematics ability had mean percentage gain of 22.06 in their performance of the concepts of electromagnetism. Furthermore, the mean percentage gain in students with high mathematics ability presented with verbal advance organizer is 35.40%, those students with average mathematics ability have mean percentage gain of 30.59% while those of low mathematics ability have 15.87. This implies that students of high mathematics abilities contributed to high performance in the concepts of electromagnetism' while those of low mathematics ability performed poorly. However, those students, who learnt without advance organizers with average mathematics ability, have mean percentage gain of 18.65% in their performance in the concepts of electromagnetism, while those of high mathematics ability have mean percentage gain of 16.00% and those of low mathematics ability have 12.29%.

Finally, the mean percentage gain of the experimental group (30.57%) is less than the mean percentage gain of the control group (15.65%) in their performances in the concepts of electromagnetism classified by high, average and low mathematics when taught using concept mapping strategy.

Research Question III: How do mathematics abilities, instructional strategies and gender jointly affect students' performance on electromagnetism?

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.222[a] (0.195)	0.049	0.035	6.45005
a. Predictors: (Constant), MATA, gender, group				

MATA-Mathematics Ability, Group-instructional strategies.

Table 4.7 shows an index of relationship of r= 0.222. This indicates that the advance organizers, gender and mathematical ability of students' jointly have a positive relationship with the students' performance in electromagnetism when taught using concept mapping strategy. This implies that it is only to a considerable extent (22.2%) that the advance organizers, gender and mathematics ability of students jointly influenced positively, students' performance in electromagnetism.

Test of Hypothesis

Research Hypothesis I: (HO1) there is no significant different in performance of students in electromagnetism taught using the instructional strategies

Table 4: Summary of Analysis of covariance of students' performance in electromagnetism based on the four instructional strategies using pre-test as a covariant

Dependent Variable: Post-Test Scores of Performance					
Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	724.876[a]	4	181.219	4.499	S
Intercept	9079.451	1	9079.451	225.429	S
PRETESTTO	0.021	1	0.021	0.001	Ns
Instructional strategies	668.453	3	222.818	5.532	S
Error	7853.879	195	40.276		
Total	105071.000	200			
Corrected Total	8578.755	199			
a. R Squared = .084 (Adjusted R Squared = 0.066)					

As indicated on Table 4, the calculated $F_{3,195}$ value is 5.532 at degree of freedom of 3,195 and Probability level of 0.05 against the $F_{3,195}$ critical value of 3.89.
This shows that there is no significant difference between the performance of students in the concept of electromagnetism who were presented with advance organizers (pictorial, written and verbal) and those without the advance organizers when taught using concept map strategy.

Research Hypothesis II: (HO2)
No significance difference exist between the performance of students in electromagnetism taught using the instructional strategies, given their mathematics abilities

Table 5: Summary of 4x3 Analysis of Covariance of students' performance, in the concept of electromagnetism classified by instructional strategies and mathematics abilities using pre-test scores as a covariant

Dependent Variable: PPTE POSTTEST(T)					
Source	Type III Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	1091.966[a]	12	90.997	2.273	s
Intercept	8281.471	1	8281.471	206.849	s
PRETESTTO	0.114	1	0.114	.003	ns
Main Effect					
Instructional strategies	277.420	3	92.473	2.310	ns
MATA	124.080	2	62.040	1.550	ns
Interactions					
Instructional strategies * MATA	270.527	6	45.088	1.126	Ns
Error Total Corrected Total	7486.789 105071.000 8578.755	187 200 199	40.036		

Table 5 shows that the main effects (Group and MATA) are non-significant. The calculated F-value is 2.310 and 1.550 respectively at degree of freedom of 3,187 and probability level of 0.05, the critical value is 3.04. Since the critical value is greater than the calculated value in both cases, the null hypothesis is retained. No significant main effect exists between the performance of students presented with advance organizers (Pictorial, written and verbal) and those not presented with the advance organizers when taught the concept of electromagnetism using concepts mapping strategy, given their mathematical abilities. Furthermore, the interaction between instructional strategy and Mathematical ability shows no significance. The calculated F-value at degree of freedom of 6,187 is 1.126 while the critical value is 2.140 at 0.05 significance levels. Therefore the null hypothesis is retained. No significant interaction effect exists between the performance of students presented with advance organizers (Pictorial, written and verbal) and those not presented with advance organizers when taught the concept of electromagnetism using concept mapping strategy, given their mathematical abilities.

Research Hypothesis III: (HO_3)
There is no significant joint effect of instructional strategies, mathematics abilities and gender on student performance on electromagnetism

Table 6: Summary of 4x3x2 analysis of covariance of students performance in the concept of electromagnetism classified by instructional strategies mathematical ability and gender using pre-test scores as a covariance.

Dependent Variable: post-test scores of performance					
Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	1696.646[a]	23	73.767	1.886	s
Intercept	7982.512	1	7982.512	204.141	s
PRETESTTO	.267	1	0.267	0.007	ns
Instructional strategies	280.058	3	93.353	2.387	ns
MATA	43.617	2	21.809	0.558	ns
GENDER	169.403	1	169.403	4.332	s
Instructional strategy * MATA	144.425	6	24.071	0.616	ns
Instructional strategy * GENDER	229.510	3	76.503	1.956	s
MATA * GENDER	39.387	2	19.693	.504	ns
Instructional strategy * MATA * GENDER	386.508	5	77.302	1.977	ns
Error	6882.109	176	39.103		
Total	105071.000	200			
Corrected Total	8578.755	199			

a. R Squared = .198 (Adjusted R Squared = 0.093) MATA- Mathematics Ability

Table 6 shows that the main effect of instructional strategies, mathematics ability and gender. At 0.05 significant level and degree of freedom (df) of 3,199, the calculated F-ratio is 2.387 for instructional strategies, 0.558 for mathematics ability and 4.332 for gender. The critical values at df of 3,199 is 2.65, therefore, the main effect of group and gender is non-significant since the critical value is greater than the calculated values (no significance difference). There exists a significance difference in mathematical ability since at 0.05 significant level and df of 3,176, the F-ratio calculated is less than the F-critical value. Furthermore, the interaction effects of instructional strategies and mathematics ability is 0.616 which is less than the critical values hence there is a significant difference in students performance in electromagnetism due to the interactive effect of group and mathematical ability. The F-ratio calculated for the interaction of group, mathematics ability and gender is 1.977 at 0.05 significant level and degree of freedom of 5,176 while the critical value at 0.05 significant level and degree of freedom of 5,176 is 2.26. Since the F-calculated is less than F-critical, the null hypothesis is retained. This shows that there is no significant joint effect in the instructional strategies on performance in electromagnetism by students of high, average, and low mathematics abilities, whether male or female.

Summary of Findings

- Students of high mathematics ability have mean percentage gain of 41.17% while those of low mathematical ability have 36.93% in their performance in the concept of electromagnetism taught using pictorial advance organizer (PAO)
- Mean percentage gain of the experimental group (30.57%) is greater than the mean percentage gain of the control group (15.65%) in their performance in Electromagnetism classified by high, average and low mathematical abilities.
- Mathematics ability, instructional strategies and gender have positive joint relationship with students performance in Physics (electromagnetism) to a considerable extent of 22.2% (R^2 = 0.222)
- There is no significant difference between the performance of students in the concept of electromagnetism who were presented with advance organizers (PAO, WrAO, VAO) and those without the organizers when taught using concept map strategy.
- At 0.05 significant level and degree of freedom df (3,197) there exists a significance interaction effects of instructional strategies and mathematical ability on students performance in electromagnetism. Students of high mathematics ability contributed mostly to the high performance in electromagnetism concepts.

Discussion

The performance of students was affected according to their mathematical abilities in the understanding and application of the concepts of electromagnetism. However, students taught with the pictorial advance organizers, of low mathematical abilities had a higher mean performance in the concepts (36.93%). This may have resulted from the interactive effect of the pictorial organizers and the realm of understanding is at a lower level in the cognitive domain of the taxonomy of educational objectives where much of mathematics skills may not be required (Obafemi & Ogunkunle, 2014) and contrary to the views of Okey (2014) that an average mathematical ability is required for problem solving in mathematical concepts in electromagnetism.

Mathematics ability interacted favourably with the instructional strategies used for this study and accounted for a significant performance in the concepts of electromagnetism. Students of high mathematics ability contributed immensely to their high performance in electromagnetism taught using concept map strategy irrespective of their gender. These findings agreed with the view of Adeyemi (2007) that the performance of students in Mathematics and Physics correlate significantly, and the teaching of students using innovative strategies such as the use of concept map strategy and intervention of pictorial organizers contributed to a better in corporation and retention of the concepts of electromagnetism.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this research, the following recommendations are made.

- Students who offer Physics have need for proper inculcation of the basic mathematics principles, laws and theories, especially as needed in the understanding and application of the Physics concepts.
- Students-centered interactive/innovative strategies such as the use of Advance Organizers and concept map strategy should be used in teaching electromagnetism concepts.
- Teachers of Physics should engage students with problem solving schedules for familiarization and retention of approaches to numerical problems in electromagnetism.

Conclusion

Adequate knowledge of mathematics is compulsorily required for the understanding and application of the concepts of electromagnetism hence effort has to be made in order to improve students’ acquisition of mathematics skills if they expect a positive learning outcome in Physics.

References

Adegoke, B.A. (2009). Determining factors in Secondary School Students Choice of Physics. *Journal of Science Teachers' Association of Nigeria, 4 (1&2),75-84*

Adeyemi, T.O (2007). Mathematics as Language for involving Secondary School Children in Science and technology in Ondo State, *Nigeria, Mathematics Connection*, (6) 11-21.

Brekke, S. (2010).Urgent Mathematics Crisis in Our Nation.*Teachers.net* retrieved from *http://teachers.net/gazette/wordpress/category/stewart-bekke*

Ellis, E.S. (2006). *Theoretical and Empirical basis for Graphic organizers instruction.* Tuscaloosa; Al masterminds publishing.

Gabriel, F.A. (2006). Physics students' Cognitive Preferences in Electromagnetism. *International Journal of Research in Education 3 (1) 78-54.*

Ighomereho, P.O. (2005). *Students Expressed Usage of some Mathematical Concepts in Senior Secondary physics. Unpublished.* Post Graduate Diploma in Education Project, Lagos State University.

Joseph, E.U. (2012). Psycho-Academic Variables and Mathematics Achievement of 9th Grade students in Nigeria. *British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science 2(2), 174-183*

Kola-Olusanya, A. (2004).Effectiveness of concept mapping and Guided discovery in Enhancing Achievement of Students in Environmental Science Concept in Nigeria. *Journal of Contemporary Education Issue 2(1) 105-113.*

Lord, P. & Jones, M. (2006). Pupils' experiences and perspectives of the National Curriculum and assessment. *Final report for the research review slough, UK: NFER.*

NERDC (2009). Federal ministry of Education, Senior Secondary Education Curriculum, Physics (SSS 1-3). Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council, pp8-13.

Obafemi, D.T.A. & Ogunkunle, R.A. (2014).Mathematics abilities of secondary school Physics students and performance in sound waves. *International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)* vol. 1 (1), 111-123.

Okey, I.F & Avwiri, E. (2012). Pedagogical Approaches in handling difficult concepts in Physics *Journal of Education and training technology (JETT) 3 81-86.*

Okey, I.F (2007). Problem solving ability in Physics among Senior Secondary School Students in Rivers State. *Unpublished Med thesis, RSUST Port Harcourt.*

Okey, I.F. (2014). *Determination of the intervening effects of Advance Organizers on Physics Students' Performance in Electromagnetism.* Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Port Harcourt, Choba, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Oluyamo S.S & Olasanmi, S.O (2002). Areas of difficulties in Senior Secondary School Physics Curriculum as perceived by both students and in-=service Physics teachers in Ondo & Ekiti State, Nigeria. *Journal of Educational innovation & Development. 1(2) 104-113.*

Onwioduokit, F.A. (1996). Difficulty Level of concepts in Physics Curriculum. *Journal of Research in Education 1(1) 23-2 7.*

Osborne, J. Simon, S. & Collins, S (2003). Attitudes towards science: A Review of the Literature and its Implications. *International Journal of Science Education. 25, 1049-1079.*

Owolabi, T. (2008). Students Expressed Mathematics Errors in Solving Numerical Problems in Physics. In Udofia, N (eds). *Curriculum development in science, Technology and Mathematics* .STAN proceeding 4th conference 259-261

Redish, E.F. (2005). Problem solving and the use of mathematics in Physics courses. Retrieved from *umdperg.pbworks.com/w/page/34255731/math-physics:-references.*

Sidhu, K.S. (2006). The teaching of mathematics. India: Stirling publishers private Ltd.

Wikipedia (2010).*Electromagnetism.* Retrieved from *http://enwikipedia.org/wikiscience.*

Wood, R & Ashfield, J. (2008). The use of the Interactive Whiteboard for Creative Teaching and Learning in Literacy and Mathematics: A Case Study. *British Journal of Educational Technology Vol. 39(1), 84-96.*

Young, H.D & Freedman, R.A. (2004).*University Physics*. London: Addison Wesley.