MODELLING RIVERS STATE MONTHLY ALLOCATION BY SEASONAL BOX-JENKINS METHODS

¹E. H. Etuk, ¹U. I. Amadi, ²M. Y. Dimkpa, ³I. S. Aboko and ⁴R. C. Igbudu

¹Department of Mathematics/Computer Science Rivers State University of Science and Technology Port Harcourt ²Department of Mathematics/Statistics Rivers State Polytechnic Bori ³Department of Mathematics/Statistics Rivers State College of Arts and Science Port Harcourt ⁴Department of Computer Science Rivers State Polytechnic Bori Email. ettetuk@yahoo.com,ettehetuk@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Rivers State of Nigeria Monthly Allocation is hereby modelled using seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average (SARIMA) techniques. The period covered is from 2007 to 2012. This realization shall be called RSMA. Its time plot shows a fairly horizontal trend. An outlier is evident in June 2008. Seasonality is not obvious from this time plot. An inspection of the data reveals that yearly minimums tend to occur early in the year and the maximums in the middle of the year. This means that the data are fairly seasonal of period 12 months. A 12-monthly differencing of RSMA yields the series SDRSMA which has a generally horizontal trend too. Augmented Dickey Fuller Test for RSMA is significant whereas that for SDRSMA is highly significant. That means that even though both series could be said to be stationary, SDRSMA is the more stationary. The autocorrelation structure of SDRSMA makes the SARIMA models of orders $(0, 0, 1)x(0, 1, 1)_{12}$ and $(0, 0, 1)x(0, 1, 1)x(0, 1, 1)_{12}$ and (0, 0, 1)x(0, 1, 1)x(0, 1)x(0, 1)x(0, 1)x(01)x(1, 1, 1)₁₂ suggestive for RSMA. The estimate of the former is noninvertible whereas that of the latter is not only invertible but possesses uncorrelated residuals that follow the normal distribution; hence, its adequacy.

Keywords: Rivers State Monthly Allocation, SARIMA models, Time Series Analysis.

INTRODUCTION

The Rivers State of Nigeria like every other state receives monthly allocation from the Federation Account. The focus of this write-up is obtaining an adequate model which could be used in forecasting future values of the allocation. In particular, a seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average (SARIMA) approach shall be adopted. Many economic and financial time series, apart from being volatile, are seasonal. Box and Jenkins (1976) proposed SARIMA models for such series. Observation has been made herein that

the particular realization analysed shows some seasonal tendencies of annual periodicity. There has been a growing interest in the adoption of SARIMA techniques in modelling such time series. A few of the researchers who have published works on SARIMA modelling include Appiah and Adetunde (2011), Etuk and Igbudu (2013), Osarumwense(2013), Bako et al.(2013), Singh (2013), Ali(2013), Abdul-Aziz et al.(2013), Etuk et al. (2012), Lee et al.(2012).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data for this work are seventy two values of Rivers State Monthly Allocation (RSMA) from 2007 to 2012 obtainable from the Rivers State Ministry of Finance, Port Harcourt. The actual values used in the analysis are corrected to the nearest tenth million of naira.

Sarima Models

A time series {X_t} is said to follow an *autoregressive moving average model of orders p and q* designated ARMA (p,q) if it satisfies the following difference equation $X_t - \alpha_1 X_{t-1} - \alpha_2 X_{t-2} - \dots - \alpha_p X_{t-p} = \varepsilon_t + \beta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \beta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}$ (1)

Where α 's and the β 's are constants such that model (1) is both stationary and invertible. Model (1) may be written more specifically as

$$A(L)X_t = B(L)\varepsilon_t$$
⁽²⁾

Where A (L) = $1 - \alpha_1 L - \alpha_2 L^2 - ... - \alpha_p L^p$ and B (L) = $1 + \beta_1 L + \beta_2 L^2 + ... + \beta_q L^q$ and L is the backshift operator defined by $L^k X_t = X_{t-k}$. Stationarity and invertibility conditions are such that the zeros of A (L) = 0 and B(L) = 0 lie outside the unit circle respectively.

Many real-life time series are not stationary. For such a time series, Box and Jenkins(1976) proposed that differencing of sufficient order d could render it stationary. That means, the series $\{\nabla^d X_t\}$ is stationary. Here the symbol ∇ is the difference operator defined by $\nabla = 1 - L$. If the dth difference $\{\nabla^d X_t\}$ follows an ARMA(p,q) model then $\{X_t\}$ is said to follow an *autoregressive integrated moving average model of orders p, d and q* designated ARIMA(p, d, q).

If a time series $\{X_t\}$ is seasonal in nature, Box and Jenkins (1976) also proposed that it could be modelled more specifically by

$$A(L)\Phi(L^{s})\nabla^{d}\nabla_{s}^{D}X_{t} = B(L)\Theta(L^{s})\varepsilon_{t}$$
(3)

Where $\Phi(L)$ and $\Theta(L)$ are the seasonal autoregressive and moving average operators respectively and s is the period of seasonality. Here ∇_s^{D} is the seasonal difference operator and is defined by $\nabla_s^{D} = 1 - L^s$. Suppose they are respectively polynomials of orders P and Q, then model (3) is said to be a *multiplicative seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average model of orders p, d, q, P, D, Q and s designated SARIMA(p, d, q)x(P, D, Q)s*.

Sarima Model Fitting

The fitting of a model of the form (3) begins with the determination of the orders p, d, q, P, D, Q and s. Knowledge of the theoretical properties of the ARMA model family is necessary for this purpose. The seasonality period s might be directly suggestive from the seasonal nature of the time series. The autocorrelation function (ACF) may be useful in the determination of s. the ACF of an s-periodic seasonal series shows a sinusoidal pattern of the same periods.

The differencing orders d and D are chosen such that d + D < 3. Often for stationarity that is enough. At each stage stationarity might be tested using a technique like the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test. The autoregressive orders p and P are usually estimated by the non-seasonal and seasonal cut-off lags of the partial autocorrelation function (PACF) respectively. Similarly the moving average orders q and Q are determined by the nonseasonal and the seasonal cut-off lags of the ACF. After order determination the model parameters might be estimated by non-linear optimization techniques like the least error sum of squares technique. In this write-up the statistical and econometric software Eviews 7 which is based on the least squares procedure shall be used. To choose between models the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) may be used. Etuk (2009) empirically demonstrated that AIC is one of the order determination criteria for full-order auroregressive modelling.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The time plot of RSMA in Figure 1 reveals a generally horizontal trend. Seasonality is not so obvious. There is an outlier at June 2008. It is observed not on the time-plot but by direct inspection that the yearly minimums occur in the first four months of the year. For 2007 it is in January; 2008 February; 2009 April; 2010 April; 2011 February; 2012 January. Similarly the maximums occur between March and July; 2007 May; 2008 June; 2009 March; 2010 May; 2011 July; 2012 March. These tendencies are evidence of seasonality. It

was therefore necessary to difference the time series seasonally. This yielded the series SDRSMA. The time plot of SDRSMA in Figure 2 shows a generally horizontal trend. The ADF Test statistic for RSMA and SDRSMA are equal to -3.19 and -4.34 respectively. With the 1%, 5% and 10% critical values equal to -3.53, -2.90 and -2.59 respectively, the nonstationarity hypothesis test is highly significant with SDRSMA and just significant and not highly so, for RSMA. This means that at 1% level of significance RSMA might not be considered stationary whereas SDRSMA would be. The correlogram of SDRSMA in Figure 3 indicates seasonality of period 12 months and the presence of a seasonal moving average component of order one. Moreover, the autocorrelations at lags 11 and 13 are comparable, suggesting a SARIMA(0, 0, 1)x(0, 1, 1)₁₂ model for RSMA. The significant spike at lag 12 in the PACF suggests the involvement of a seasonal autoregressive component of order one. In conjunction with the ACF structure this indicates a SARIMA (0, 0, 1)x(1, 1, 1) model for RSMA. This model as estimated in Table 1 is given by

 $SDRSMA_t = \varepsilon_t + .2127\varepsilon_{t-1} - .9742\varepsilon_{t-12} - .2387\varepsilon_{t-13}$

Which is non-invertible and therefore unacceptable. The other model, the SARIMA(0, 0,1)x(1, 1, 1)₁₂, as estimated in Table 2, is given by

$$SDRSMA_{t} + .3110SDRSMA_{t-12} = \varepsilon_{t} + .3998\varepsilon_{t-1} - .3343\varepsilon_{t-12} - .8888\varepsilon_{t-13}$$
(4)

Model (4) has been found to be adequate on the following grounds.

- 1) The residuals are mostly uncorrelated. See Figure 4.
- 2) The residuals are normally distributed. See the Jarque-Bera test of Figure 5.

CONCLUSION

It may then be concluded that Rivers State Monthly allocation follows a SARIMA(0, 0, 1) $x(1, 1, 1)_{12}$ model. This might be used as basis for its forecasting.

REFERENCES

Abdul-Aziz, A. M., Kwame, A., Munyakazi, L. Nsowa-Nuamah, N. N. N. (2013). Modelling and Forecasting Rainfall Pattern in Ghana as a Seasonal Arima Process: The Case of Ashanti Region. *International of Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(3): 224 – 233.

- Ali, SM (2013). Time Series Analysis of Baghdad Rainfall Using ARIMA method. *Iraqi* Journal of Science, 54(4): 1136 1142.
- Appiah, S. T. and Adetunde, I. A. (2011). Forecasting Exchange Rate Between the Ghana Cedi and the US Dollar Using Time Series Analysis. *African Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences*, 3(6): 255 – 264.
- Bako, H. Y., Rusiman, M. S., Kane, I. L. and Matias-Peralta, H. M. (2013). Predictive modelling of pelagic fish catch in Malaysia using seasonal ARIMA models. Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 2(3): 136 140.
- Box, G. E. P. and Jenkins, G. M. (1976). Time Series Analysis, Forecasting and Control, Holden-Day: San Francisco.
- Etuk, E. H. (2009). On Full-Order Autoregressive Model Fitting. Journal of Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, 15, 117 122.
- Etuk, E. H. and Igbudu, R. C. (2013). A Sarima Fit to Monthly Nigerian Naira-British Pound Exchange Rates. *Journal of Computation & Modelling*, 3(1): 133 – 144.
- Etuk, E. H., Wokoma, D. S. A. and Moffat, I. U. (2013). Additive Sarima Modelling of Monthly Nigerian Naira-CFA Franc Exchange Rates. *European Journal of Statistics* and Probability, 1(1): 1 – 12.
- Lee, M. H., Rahman, N. H. A., Surhatono, Latif, M. T., Nor, M. E. and Kamisan, N. A. B. (2012). Seasonal ARIMA for Forecasting Air Pollution Index: A Case Study. *American Journal* of Applied Sciences, 9(4): 570 – 578.
- Osarumwese, O. I. (2013). Applicability of Box-Jenkins SARIMA Model in Rainfall Forecasting: A Case Study of Port Harcourt South Nigeria. *Canadian Journal on Computing in Mathematics, Natural Sciences, Engineering and Medicine*, 4(1): 1-4.
- Singh, E. H. (2013). Forecasting Tourist Inflow in Bhutan using Seasonal ARIMA. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 2(9): 242 245.





Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation		AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob
· •	ı 🗖	1	0.249	0.249	3.9121	0.048
i <mark>1</mark> i		2	0.082	0.021	4.3431	0.114
i <mark>1</mark> i	I <mark> </mark> I	3	0.104	0.084	5.0545	0.168
1 <mark>1</mark> 1	I I	4	0.076	0.032	5.4404	0.245
1] 1	I I I I	5	0.042	0.010	5.5596	0.351
i 🛛 i	I I I I	6	0.039	0.018	5.6668	0.462
ı 🗖 ı	I I 🗖 I	7	0.130	0.115	6.8576	0.444
1 [] 1	I I I	8	-0.097	-0.176	7.5373	0.480
1 <mark>1</mark> 1	I I 🗖 I	9	0.081	0.150	8.0169	0.532
	I I 🗖 I	10	-0.024	-0.109	8.0582	0.623
1 🗖 1	I I I	11	-0.144	-0.121	9.6282	0.564
		12	-0.448	-0.453	25.183	0.014
1 🗖 1	I I 🗖 I	13	-0.110	0.166	26.142	0.016
1 <mark>1</mark> 1	i 🗖 i	14	0.085	0.119	26.725	0.021
1 🗖 1	1 1	15	-0.084	0.004	27.315	0.026
1 <mark>1</mark> 1	I <mark> </mark> I	16	0.092	0.112	28.027	0.031
I I	I <mark>]</mark> I	17	0.011	0.048	28.037	0.045
1 (1	I [I	18	-0.033	-0.056	28.134	0.060
1 [1	I <mark>]</mark> I	19	-0.056	0.042	28.416	0.076
i 🛛 i	I [I	20	0.071	-0.040	28.884	0.090
1 1	I <mark> </mark> I	21	0.005	0.085	28.886	0.117
	I [] I	22	-0.023	-0.068	28.936	0.147
i 🗖 i		23	0.124	-0.021	30.479	0.136
1 1		24	-0.020	-0.324	30.520	0.168
1 🖬 1		25	-0.061	0.008	30.915	0.192
1 🛛 1	ı <mark> </mark> ı	26	-0.044	0.108	31.128	0.224
1 1		27	0.001	0.017	31.128	0.266
1 [1	ı <mark>þ</mark> ı	28	-0.059	0.069	31.537	0.294

FIGURE 3: CORRELOGRAM OF SDRSMA

TABLE 1: ESTIMATIOM OF SARIMA (0, 0, 1)X(0, 1, 1) MODEL

Dependent Variable: SDRSMA Method: Least Squares Date: 08/23/14 Time: 14:02 Sample (adjusted): 2008M01 2012M12 Included observations: 60 after adjustments Failure to improve SSR after 11 iterations MA Backcast: OFF (Roots of MA process too large)

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	Prob.	
MA(1) MA(12) MA(13)	0.212737 -0.974197 -0.238727	0.130642 0.078849 0.146070	0.1090 0.0000 0.1077	
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	0.432772 0.412869 15730.45 1.41E+10 -663.3987 1.951955	Mean deper S.D. depend Akaike info d Schwarz crit Hannan-Qui	-2871.328 20529.28 22.21329 22.31801 22.25425	
Inverted MA Roots	1.00 .5086i 50+.86i 99	.8750i .00+1.00i 5086i	.87+.50i .00-1.00i 86+.50i	.50+.86i 25 8650i

Estimated MA process is noninvertible

TABLE 2: ESTIMATION OF THE SARIMA (0, 0, 1) X (1, 1, 1)₁₂ MODEL

Dependent Variable: SDRSMA Method: Least Squares Date: 08/23/14 Time: 14:08 Sample (adjusted): 2009M01 2012M12 Included observations: 48 after adjustments Convergence achieved after 11 iterations MA Backcast: 2007M12 2008M12

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error t-Statistic		Prob.	
AR(12) MA(1) MA(12) MA(13)	-0.311002 0.399762 -0.334261 -0.888798	0.102296 0.081072 0.077604 0.023266	0.0040 0.0000 0.0001 0.0000		
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	0.717870 0.698634 10117.65 4.50E+09 -508.6785 1.664022	Mean depen S.D. depend Akaike info d Schwarz crit Hannan-Qui	-6166.850 18430.30 21.36161 21.51754 21.42053		
Inverted AR Roots	.88+.23i .2388i 6464i .99 .5384i 4190i 9722i	.8823i .23+.88i 6464i .87+.48i .06+.99i 78+.62i	.64+.64i 2388i 88+.23i .8748i .0699i 7862i	.64+.64i 23+.88i 8823i .53+.84i 41+.90i 97+.22i	

Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation		AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob
		1 2 3 4 5 6	0.150 0.325 0.194 0.290 0.198 0.062	0.150 0.310 0.130 0.187 0.087 -0.121	1.1427 6.6623 8.6788 13.276 15.454 15.674	0.000
		7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	0.193 -0.085 0.003 -0.014 0.050 -0.014 -0.107 0.074 -0.054 -0.063	0.070 -0.209 -0.124 0.034 0.078 0.057 -0.060 0.085 -0.009 -0.137	17.848 18.281 18.295 18.454 18.454 18.466 19.253 19.640 19.855 20.152	0.000 0.001 0.003 0.006 0.010 0.018 0.023 0.033 0.047 0.064
· · · · · ·		18 19 20	0.019 0.028 0.050 -0.062	0.005 0.047 0.095 0.003	20.178 20.239 20.443 20.770	0.123 0.156 0.188

FIGURE 4: CORRELOGRAM OF SARIMA (0,0,1)X(1,1, 1)12 MODEL RESDIDUALS



FIGURE 5: HISTOGRAM OF SARIMA (0, 0, 1)X(1, 1, 1)12 RESIDUALS

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: E. H. Etuk. *et al* (2014), Modelling Rivers State Monthly Allocation by Seasonal Box-Jenkins Methods. *J. of Physical Science and Innovation*, Vol. 6, <u>No. 2, Pp. 33-40.</u>